NPS-MA-95-001

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California





19950313 063

THE (IN)COMPLETE COMPENDIUM OF COMPUTATIONAL CURIOSITIES:
GIVENS' ROTATIONS

by

C. F. Borges

Technical Report For Period January 1995 - February 1995

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Prepared for: Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943

and the second s

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CA 93943

Rear Admiral T.A. Mercer Superintendent

Harrison Shull Provost

This report was prepared in conjunction with research conducted for the Naval Postgraduate School and funded by the Naval Postgraduate School.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:

Carlos F. Borges

Assistant Professor of Mathematics

Reviewed by:

RICHARD FRANKE

Chairman

Released by:

PAUL MARTO
Dean of Research

Accesion For

NTIS CRA&I
DTIC TAB
Unannounced
Justification

By
Distribution |

Availability Codes

Dist Avail and or
Special

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
Unclassified 2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 . DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE						
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)				
NPS-MA-95-001		NPS-MA-95-001				
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
Naval Postgraduate School	MA	Naval Postgraduate School				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)				
W		Monterey, CA 93943				
Monterey, CA 93943 8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER		TION NUMBER		
ORGANIZATION Naval Postgraduate School	(If applicable) MA					
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	1111	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS				
Monterey, CA 93943		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.	
				<u></u>		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)			G: I I	.		
The (In)Complete Compendium of Computational Curiosities: Givens' Rotations						
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Carlos F. Borges						
13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED 14. (ORT (Year, Month	, Day) 1	5 PAGE COUNT 10	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
17. COSATI CODES	Continue on rever	se if necessary an	nd identify	by block number)		
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	 Givens' Rota	ations				
	and identify by block a	umber)				
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) We look at the properties of Givens' rotations computed and performed in floating						
point arithmetic that conforms to the IEEE 754 standard. We describe an algorithm for						
constructing computationally orthogonal Givens' rotations.						
				•		
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT	21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified					
ZUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS 22a, NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL	22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYMBOL					
Carlos F. Borges	408-656-	-2124		MA/RC		

The (In)Complete Compendium of Computational Curiosities: Givens' Rotations

CARLOS F. BORGES

Code Ma/Bc

Naval Postgraduate School

Monterey, CA 93943

February 15, 1995

Abstract

We look at the properties of Givens' rotations computed and performed in floating point arithmetic that conforms to the IEEE 754 standard. We describe an algorithm for constructing *computationally* orthogonal Givens' rotations.

1 Basic Concepts

We begin with the following definitions:

- \mathcal{F}_p The set of normalized p-digit binary floating point numbers where the exponent range is assumed to be infinite (i.e. no overflow or underflow can occur).
- fl(x) For $x \in \Re$, this is the closest element of \mathcal{F}_p to x, with the last binary digit 0 in case of a tie.

We assume throughout that the operations +, -, *, /, and $\sqrt{\ }$ are correctly rounded.

2 The Classical Given's Roatation

Let $\mathbf{x} = [x_1 x_2]^T$ be an element of \Re^2 . The classical Givens' rotation is an orthogonal matrix

$$G = \left[\begin{array}{cc} c & s \\ -s & c \end{array} \right]$$

such that

$$G\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \|\mathbf{x}\| \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

Givens' rotations are usually thought of geometrically as rotation matrices. Indeed, many derivations are given in terms of the geometry of the vector \mathbf{x} in \Re^2 but the author finds that these lead to more confusion than understanding. Another way of writing a Givens' rotation is

$$G = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \left[\begin{array}{cc} x_1 & x_2 \\ -x_2 & x_1 \end{array} \right]$$

Clearly the structure of G guarantees that equation 1 will be satisfied (at least in exact arithmetic).

Givens' rotations are useful because they are orthogonal, $G^TG=I$. In particular

$$G^T G = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} c^2 + s^2 & cs - sc \\ sc - cs & c^2 + s^2 \end{array} \right]$$

If the Pythagorean theorem $(c^2 + s^2 = 1)$ applies to the elements, then we have an orthogonal matrix (Note that the structure of the matrix guarantees that the off-diagonal elements are zero, even in floating-point arithmetic since it is commutative). Indeed, the key to computing a Givens' transformation is the ability to normalize a vector in \Re^2 .

The classical algorithm for constructing Givens' rotations ([1], p. 45) is

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{if } x_2 = 0 \\ &c = 1; \\ &s = 0; \\ &\text{elseif } |x_2| \geq |x_1| \\ &t = x_1/x_2; \\ &s = 1/\text{sqrt}(1+t^2); \\ &c = s*t; \\ &\text{else} \\ &t = x_2/x_1; \\ &c = 1/\text{sqrt}(1+t^2); \\ &s = c*t; \end{aligned}
```

We propose that a better organization of this algorithm which costs no more is

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{if } x_2 = 0 \\ &c = 1; \\ &s = 0; \\ &\text{elseif } |x_2| \ge |x_1| \\ &t = x_1/x_2; \\ &s = \text{sqrt}(1/(1+t^2)); \\ &c = s * t; \end{aligned}
```

```
else

t = x_2/x_1;

c = \text{sqrt}(1/(1+t^2));

s = c * t;

end
```

Experiments using extended precision arithmetic show that the second algorithm is, on average, slightly closer to the correctly rounded Givens' rotation than the first one.

Usually, the acme of a floating-point computation is to give a correctly rounded result. Before examining what this means here, consider the following example.

EXAMPLE: The Givens' rotation G such that

$$G\left[\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c}\sqrt{2}\\0\end{array}\right]$$

is

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

In double precision using the correctly rounded value of $1/\sqrt{2}$ one finds that the diagonal elements of G^TG are roughly $1+2.2204\dot{10}^{-16}$. This is only one *ulp* from the correct value but the end result is that the computed Givens' rotation is not orthogonal, it does not preserve norms but rather increases them.

Note that, in this example, our proposed improvement yields a G where each of the elements is the correctly rounded element from the Givens' rotation while the classical one does not.

The underlying cause of the problem we see in the above example is not due to rounding error in computing the rotation, rather it is the inability of the floating point system to represent the rotation sufficiently well. In double precision IEEE 754 arithmetic there is no floating point number twice whose square is 1.

3 Computationally Orthogonal Givens' Rotations

We now propose an algorithm for computing a Givens' rotation which is computationally orthogonal. That is, the algorithm computes a matrix G such that $G^TG = I$ to full working precision, the computed matrix G will be close to the classically computed Givens' rotation in the Frobenius norm.

if
$$x_2 = 0$$

 $c = 1;$
 $s = 0;$

```
elseif |x_2| \ge |x_1|

t = x_1/x_2;

s = \operatorname{sqrt}(1/(1+t^2));

b = s^2;

c = \operatorname{sqrt}(1-b);

else

t = x_2/x_1;

c = \operatorname{sqrt}(1/(1+t^2));

b = c^2;

s = \operatorname{sqrt}(1-b);

end
```

We will need to explore certain properties of correctly rounding floating point arithmetic to see why this works.

The following theorem about correctly rounded square roots is based on a conjecture of Gragg and will be useful in what follows. It is stated and proved for $1/4 \le x < 1$ since we can use exact argument reduction on the exponent to put any floating point number in this range.

Theorem 1 Let $x \in \mathcal{F}_p$ be a floating point number such that $1/4 \le x < 1$, and let $y = fl(\sqrt{x})$ be the correctly rounded floating point representation of \sqrt{x} . Then $fl(y^2)$ is within 1 ulp of x.

Proof. It is clear that $1/2 \le \sqrt{x} < 1$, and hence, since y is correctly rounded it follows that $y = \sqrt{x} + \delta$ where $|\delta| \le 2^{-(p+1)}$. The exact square of y is

$$y^2 = x + 2\delta\sqrt{x} + \delta^2.$$

Clearly, if y^2 and x differ by less than 3/2 of an ulp of x then so will $fl(y^2)$ and x because of correct rounding. So we need only show that $|2\delta\sqrt{x} + \delta^2|$ satisfies this bound. Of course

$$|2\delta\sqrt{x} + \delta^2| < |2\sqrt{x} + \delta|2^{-(p+1)}$$
.

We consider two cases. First, if $1/2 \le x < 1$ then $\sqrt{x} \le \sqrt{1-2^{-p}} < 1-2^{-(p+1)}$ and it follows that

$$|2\sqrt{x} + \delta| \le 2\sqrt{x} + |\delta|$$

 $< 2 - 2^{-p} + 2^{-(p+1)} < 2.$

And hence

$$|2\delta\sqrt{x} + \delta^2| < 2^{-p}$$

which is less than 1 ulp of x.

Second, if $1/4 \le x < 1/2$ then $\sqrt{x} \le \sqrt{1/2 - 2^{-(p+1)}} < 1/\sqrt{2} - 2^{-(p+2)}$ and it follows that

$$|2\sqrt{x} + \delta| \le \sqrt{2} < 3/2$$

And hence

$$|2\delta\sqrt{x} + \delta^2| < \frac{3}{2}2^{-(p+1)}$$

which is less than 3/2 ulps from x.

Theorem 2 Let $x \in \mathcal{F}_p$ be a floating point number such that $1/2 \le x \le 1$, then the difference 1-x=fl(1-x). Moreover, the last bit of the binary representation of fl(1-x) is 0.

Proof. If x = 1 or x = 1/2 the result is obvious, so we look at the case where 1 > x > 1/2. Let d = x - 1/2. Then 1 - x = 1 - (1/2 + d) = 1/2 - d. The binary expansion for d must look like .0bbb...bb where there are p bits in the expansion, the first is zero, and the rest can be either zeros or ones, but at least one of them is a one. Clearly now we are computing

which is a p-bit number whose first bit must be zero since the result is less than 1/2. Hence, upon normalization the exactly computed result is an element of \mathcal{F}_p with its last bit a zero. \square

4 Why does it work?

Let us consider the case where the following code fragment is executed

elseif
$$|x_2| \ge |x_1|$$

 $t = x_1/x_2;$
 $s = \operatorname{sqrt}(1/(1+t^2));$
 $b = s^2;$
 $c = \operatorname{sqrt}(1-b);$

In this case $0 \le t \le 1$ and thus $1/2 \le 1/(1+t^2) \le 1$. After taking the square root we square s and make an assignment to force a rounding, b should be a number between 1/2 and 1. It is possible for b to be slightly less than 1/2 at this point, we will discuss this later. For now assume b > 1/2. We now compute $c = \sqrt{1-b}$, there is no reason to force an intermediate rounding for 1-b with an assignment since this is computed exactly anyway. Now note that from theorem 1 we know that $c^2 = (1-b) \pm 1 ulp$ where the error is one ulp of b. Let .sss...ss be binary expansion of $fl(s^2)$, and let .0bb...bb0 be the binary expansion of 1-b, then, in the worst case, the binary expansion of $fl(c^2)$ must be $.0bb...bb0 \pm .000...00\delta$, where δ can be either 0 or 1, it will be more usual that the δ will be further to the right. Then, in binary, $c^2 + s^2$ must be

```
.sss...ss
+ .0bb...bb0
± .000...00δ
```

The sum is either 1, or it is 1.000...001 which rounds to 1 by the round to even rule, or .111...111 which also rounds to 1 by the round to even rule. If the δ is further to the right then simple rounding will give us a 1.

There is a problem if b is less than 1/2. This happens in IEEE 754 single precision calculations since the square of the square root of 1/2 here is less than 1/2. This only occurs if $1/(1+t^2)$ is sufficiently close to 1/2 so one can avoid it with a simple trap. This is not a problem in IEEE 754 double precision calculations and the code will work as written.

One can make a variation on this algorithm which preserves the computational orthogonality but reduces the Frobenius norm of the difference between the computationally orthogonal Givens' rotation and the classically computed one. To do this first compute the classical Givens' rotation. Then check to see if it is computationally orthogonal. If it is then stop. Otherwise compute the computationally orthogonal rotation. Then use bisection on the smaller of c or s to find the computationally orthogonal rotation which is closest to the classical one. It is not clear that one would want to do this. The algorithm we have given tries to find a computationally orthogonal rotation that is as close to a truly orthogonal rotation as possible. Using a bisection as just described would take you away from this point.

5 Another Algorithm for Rotations

The following is yet another variation on the theme of orthogonal rotations. It uses techniques from simulated extended precision (SEP) arithmetic to do its work and is written for IEEE 754 double precision arithmetic (although it can be modified to work in single precision). Experiments with random vectors from \Re^2 with uniformly distributed elements show that it is computationally orthogonal roughly 95% of the time. These experiments also show that when the rotation is not exactly orthogonal then the diagonal of G^TG contains the number directly to the left of 1 in the floating point system.

```
if x_2 = 0

c = 1;

s = 0;

elseif |x_2| \ge |x_1|

t = x_1/x_2;

s = \operatorname{sqrt}(1/(1 + t^2));

bb = 134217728 * s;

b = (s - bb) + bb;
```

```
bb = s - b;
  tmp = b^2;
  dd = 2 * b * bb;
  d=tmp+dd;
  dd = ((tmp - d) + dd) + bb^{2};
  b=1-d;
  b = b - dd;
  c = sign(t) * sqrt(b);
_{
m else}
  t=x_2/x_1;
  c = \operatorname{sqrt}(1/(1+t^2));
  bb = 134217728 * c;
  b = (c - bb) + bb;
  bb = c - b;
  tmp=b^2;
  dd=2*b*bb;
  d = tmp + dd;
  dd = ((tmp - d) + dd) + bb^2;
  b=1-d;
  b = b - dd;
  s = sign(t) * sqrt(b);
end
```

References

[1] G.H. Golub and C.F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations*, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1983.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Director Defense Tech Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	(2)
Research Office Code 81 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	(1)
Library Code 52 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	(2)
Professor Richard Franke Department of Mathematics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	(1)
Dr. Richard Lau Office of Naval Research 800 Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217	(1)
Dr. William Gragg, Code MA/Gr Department of Mathematics Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	(1)